

# 5 REPORT

## HIGHWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE 14 June 2011

Subject Heading:

# HIGHWAY SCHEMES APPLICATIONS June 2011

Report Author and contact details:

Mark Philpotts Principal Engineer 01708 433751 mark.philpotts@havering.gov.uk

#### The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Clean, safe and green borough[X]Excellence in education and learning[]Opportunities for all through economic, social and cultural activity[X]Value and enhance the life of every individual[]High customer satisfaction and a stable council tax[]

SUMMARY

This report presents applications for new highway schemes for which the Committee will make recommendations to the Head of StreetCare to either progress or the Committee will reject.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. That the Committee considers that the Head of StreetCare should proceed with the detailed design and advertisement (where required) of the highway schemes applications set out the Schedule, Section A Scheme Proposals with Funding in Place.
- 2. That the Committee considers the Head of StreetCare should not proceed further with the highway schemes applications set out in the Schedule, Section B Scheme proposals without funding available.
- 3. That the Committee notes the contents of the Schedule, Section C Scheme proposals on hold for future discussion.
- 4. That it be noted that any schemes taken forward to public consultation and advertisement (where required) will be subject to a further report to the Committee and a decision by the Cabinet Member for Community Empowerment if a recommendation for implementation is made.
- 5. That it be noted that the estimated cost of implementing each scheme is set out in the Schedule along with the funding source. In the case of Section B Scheme proposals without funding available, that it be noted that there is no funding available to progress the schemes.

REPORT DETAIL

#### 1.0 Background

- 1.1 The Highways Advisory Committee receives all highway scheme requests; so that a decision will be made on whether the scheme should progress or not before resources are expended on detailed design and consultation.
- 1.2 Several schemes are funded through the Transport for London Local Implementation Programme and generally the full list of schemes will be presented to the Committee at the first meeting after Annual Council, although some items will be presented during the year as programmes develop.
- 1.3 There is also a need for schemes funded by other parties or programmes (developments with planning consent for example) to be captured through this process.

#### Highways Advisory Committee, 14 June 2011

- 1.4 Where any scheme is to be progressed, then the Head of StreetCare will proceed with the detailed design, consultation and public advertisement (where required). The outcome of consultations will then be reported to the Committee which will make recommendations to the Cabinet Member for Community Empowerment. Where a scheme is not to be progressed, then the Head of StreetCare will not undertake further work.
- 1.5 In order to manage this workload, a schedule has been prepared to deal with applications for new schemes and is split as follows;
  - (i) Section A Scheme Proposals with Funding in Place. These are projects which are fully funded and it is recommended that the Head of StreetCare proceeds with detailed design and consultation.
  - (ii) Section B Scheme proposals without funding available. These are requests for works to be undertaken where no funding from any source is identified. The recommendation of Staff to the Committee can only be one of rejection in the absence of funding. The Committee can ask that the request be held in Section C for future discussion should funding become available in the future.
  - (iii) Section C Scheme proposals on hold for future discussion. These are projects or requests where a decision is not yet required (because of timing issues) or the matter is being held pending further discussion should funding become available in the future.
- 1.6 The schedule contains information on funding source, likely budget (as a self-contained scheme, including staff design costs), the request originator, date placed on the schedule and a contact point so that Staff may inform the person requesting the scheme the outcome of the Committee decision.

#### IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

#### Financial implications and risks:

The estimated cost of each request or project is set out in the Schedule for the Committee to note.

The costs shown are an estimate of the full costs to implement a scheme should it be ultimately implemented. It should be noted that further decisions are to be made following a full report to the Committee and with the Cabinet Member approval process being completed where a scheme is recommended for implementation.

#### Highways Advisory Committee, 14 June 2011

#### Legal implications and risks:

Many aspects of highway schemes require consultation and the advertisement of proposals before a decision can be taken on their introduction.

Where a scheme is selected to proceed, then such advertisement would take place and then be reported in detail to the Committee so that a recommendation may be made to the Cabinet Member for Community Empowerment.

With all requests considered through the Schedule, a formal set of Recommendations and a record of the Committee decisions are required so that they stand up to scrutiny.

#### Human Resources implications and risks:

None.

#### Equalities implications and risks:

Decisions need to be made which are in accordance with various equalities considerations, the details of which will be reported in detail to the Committee so that a recommendation may be made to the Cabinet Member for Community Empowerment.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

None.

#### London Borough of Havering

Traffic & Engineering - StreetCare

#### Highway Schemes Applications Schedule

Scheme Date Likelv Origin/ Item Funding Requested/ Description Scheme Officer Advice CRM / Contact Ref Budget Request Placed on Source from List SECTION A - Highway scheme proposals with funding in place (previously on hold) Various Revised planning application due soon, but Orders need to be developed early to Rainham Village parking and one-way working Variety of 03/11/2010 BH Mark Philpotts Viking Way changes in support for Viking ensure scheme delivery. Full report to £10k (updated H1 external Regeneration LBH StreetCare Way extension & Upminster HAC following consultation and 23/5/11) Extension funders Road South improvements. advertisement. SECTION B - Highway scheme proposals without funding available Recent collisions have not had injury Request for speed restraint Shepherd's Hill. H2 measures following a number of outcomes. Measures might be feasibile None £30k Residents 10/05/2011 Cllr Eagling Harold Wood subject to detailed review, but unfunded. accidents Humps were installed before 1999 Regulations and are higher, but the Reduce height of speed humps 1101160. change in the law is not retrospective. H3 Globe Road to bring them within current £14k Residents 11/05/2011 1101762 & None Reduction in height would effectively Regulations. Cllr D White mean partial reconstruction which is not funded. No casualties in 3 years to December Road humps. (last considered by Swindon Lane. 2010. Any scheme would have to include H4 £60k Resident 11/05/2011 1101508 None Harold Hill HAC July 2010, Item 33) Redruth Road and Redcar Road

#### **Highways Advisory Committee**

14th June 2011

### London Borough of Havering

Traffic & Engineering - StreetCare

Highway Schemes Applications Schedule

### Highways Advisory Committee

14th June 2011

ltem Ref	Scheme	Description	Officer Advice	Funding Source	Likely Budget	Scheme Origin/ Request from	Date Requested/ Placed on List	CRM / Contact
H5	Hornchurch Road/ St Leonards Road	Provide a mini-roundabout	Would require detailed design, but the junction is on the inside of a bend which means that the Give Way point would be further back into the street, thus reducing visibility. Development of acceptable scheme likely to involve utility diversions.	None	£30k+	Resident	23/05/2011	Cllr D White
SECTION C - Highway scheme proposals on hold for future discussion (for Noting)								
H6	Junction Road	Pedestrian refuge near Western Road Medical Centre	Zebra crossing possible, pedestrian refuge would require road widening. To be considered for 2012/13 TfL LIP allocation.		£15k to £20k (plus utility diversion costs)	Cllr Brice- Thompson	11/04/2011	Cllr Brice- Thompson